



Propositional Logic Revision Tutorial

Mr Tony Chung

a.chung@lancaster.ac.uk

<http://www.tonychung.net/>

+ Today's Objectives

- Propositions
- Complex Propositions
- Valid Propositions
- Correct or Incorrect?
- Is it a predicate?
- Assertions using Predicates

This tutorial assumes that you know about truth tables.

NB: different texts may use different symbols.

I am not an expert on this topic: this tutorial is for revision.

+ Is it a Proposition?

- Propositions:
 - Language independant.
 - Formed of statements.
 - Are either true or false as a fact
 - Not questions.
 - Not a test.
 - Simple statements are indivisible.
 - All statements made up of combinations of simple statements combined using logical operators.
- Propositional logic does not usually study the subject or predicates used within statements.

+ Question 1 – Is it a Proposition?

- For each of the following sentences, say whether they are propositions or not:
 - Should we go now?
 - My mum is taller than me
 - Everybody is happy
 - Are you happy?
 - Go away!

+ Complex Propositions

- Simple propositions are indivisible.
- Complex propositions are made up of simple or recursive complex propositions.
- Propositions must be combined using, or may be modified, using logical operators:
 - OR \vee Disjunction
 - AND \wedge Conjunction
 - NOT \sim Negation
 - IF ... THEN \rightarrow Implication
 - IF AND ONLY IF \leftrightarrow Material Equivalence

+ Complex Propositions: Implication

A	B	A \rightarrow B
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

- If A is true, then B is true.
- BUT: B can still be true if A is false.
 - To obtain equivalence you need IF AND ONLY IF (\leftrightarrow)
 - Truth table same as above, except F \rightarrow T is F.

+ Question 2 – Complex Propositions

- Let P and Q be the propositions:
- P: Your car is out of petrol. Q: You can't drive your car.
- Write the following propositions using P and Q and logical connectives.
 - (a) Your car is not out of petrol.
 - (b) You can't drive your car if it is out of petrol.
 - (c) Your car is not out of petrol if you can drive it.

+ Answer 2 – Complex Propositions

- P: Your car is out of petrol. Q: You can't drive your car.
- (a) Your car is not out of petrol.
 - $\sim P$
- (b) You can't drive your car if it is out of petrol.
 - $P \rightarrow Q$
- (c) Your car is not out of petrol if you can drive it.
 - $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$

+ Question 3 – Valid Propositions

- For each of the following expressions, indicate whether they are valid propositions or not. If not, say why they are not valid propositions.
 - $P \wedge \sim Q$
 - $[[Q \vee R] [P \wedge Q]]$

+ Answer 3 – Valid Propositions

- For each of the following expressions, indicate whether they are valid propositions or not. If not, say why they are not valid propositions.
 - $P \wedge \sim Q$
 - P AND NOT Q
 - Valid (Hint: Well Formed – we don't care about meaning)
 - $[[Q \vee R] [P \wedge Q]]$
 - (Q OR R)(P AND Q)
 - Invalid (the two sub propositions are not combined with an operator.)

+ Question 4 – Correct or Incorrect?

- Indicate which of the following statements are correct and which ones are incorrect.
 - If R is True and Q is True, then $R \wedge Q$ is True.
 - If R is True and Q is False, then $\sim[R \wedge Q]$ is False

+ Answer 4 – Correct or Incorrect?

If R is True and Q is True, then $R \wedge Q$ is True.

Yes. AND is true if both inputs are true:

R	Q	$R \wedge Q$					
T	T	T					

If R is True and Q is False, then $\sim[R \wedge Q]$ is False.

No. If any input is false then AND is false. Inversion results in true – so this is inaccurate.

R	Q	$R \wedge Q$	$\sim R \wedge Q$				
T	F	F	T				

+ Is it a predicate?

- Predicate logic a.k.a. first-order logic.
- Predicate logic extends propositional logic by allowing quantification. Quantification is not literal numbers.
- The quantification comes from operators. But predicates needed for association of propositions.
- Example:
 - Ben is a man. Paul is a man.
- In propositional logic, these are unconnected. But valid in terms of structure.
- Predicate logic links them: $\text{Man}(\text{Ben}), \text{Man}(\text{Paul})$.
 - We can then do things like 'for every Man'...

+ Question 5 – Is it a predicate?

- For each of the following sentences, say whether they are predicates or not,
 - (i) $x^2 = 4$
 - (ii) My friend John is taller than 2.1 meters
 - (iii) $2 - y = \frac{1}{4}$
 - (iv) I am 80 years old
 - (v) $x^4 = 16$
 - (vi) My friend John is taller than 2.1 meters

+ Answer 5 – Is it a predicate?

- (i) $x^2 = 4$
 - No. '4' is not true or false.
 - Could take whole thing as a statement, but it is not quantified.
- (ii) My friend John is taller than 2.1 meters
 - Yes. Could be `IsFriend(John)`, `Tall(John)`
- (iii) $2 - y = \frac{1}{4}$
 - No. '2 - y' is not true or false.
- (iv) I am 80 years old
 - Yes. Could be `OverEighty(Me)`
- (v) $x^4 = 16$
 - No. '16' not true or false.

+ Assertions using Predicates

Type	Symbol	Example
For all	\forall	$\forall x(Dog(x) \rightarrow ChewsBones(x))$ <p>For all x: if x is a dog then x chews bones.</p>
There exists	\exists	$\exists x(Dog(x) \wedge IsPink(x))$ <p>There exists an x which is a dog and is pink.</p>

It is not your job to actually prove these, just to specify them.

A program could obviously be written to support this. Probably using 'for' loops and data sets.

Prolog and SWI-Prolog are examples.

This is known as **declarative programming**, you feed in data and the equation and out pops the answer.

Contrast with **procedural programming!**

+ Question 6 – Assertions using Predicates

- Working with all the character of the “Simpsons”, express the assertions given below as a proposition of predicate logic using the following predicates.
 - Father (x,y) x is y’s father, or equivalently y is x’s child.
 - Mother (x,y) x is y’s mother, or equivalently y is x’d child
 - Sister (x,y): x is y’s sister
- **Marge is Lisa’s mother but she is not Homer’s mother.**
- **There is a character in the Simpsons that is Lisa’s mother and Bart’s mother.**
- **There is a kid whose father is Homer and whose sister is Lisa.**
- Marge is Lisa’s mother and Bart’s mother
- There is character in the Simpsons that is Lisa’s mother and Bart’s mother
- There is a child whose father is Homer and whose brother is Bart

+ Answer 6 – Assertions using Predicates

- $Father(x,y)$ x is y 's father, or equivalently y is x 's child.
- $Mother(x,y)$ x is y 's mother, or equivalently y is x 'd child
- $Sister(x,y)$: x is y 's sister
- Marge is Lisa's mother but she is not Homer's mother.

$$Mother(Mrge, Lisa) \wedge \neg Mother(Mrge, Homer)$$

- There is a character in the Simpsons that is Lisa's mother and Bart's mother. (Let's assume the Universe is the Simpsons...)

$$\exists x (Mother(x, Lisa) \wedge Mother(x, Bart))$$

- There is a kid whose father is Homer and whose sister is Lisa.

$$\exists x (Father(Homer, x) \wedge Sister(x, Lisa))$$

+ Reading Material

- Go over the slides for the relevant elements of the course.
- Try reading this as well, for a different explanation:
 - <http://www.iep.utm.edu/p/prop-log.htm>
 - http://www.cs.odu.edu/~toida/nerzic/content/logic/pred_logic/intr_to_pred_logic.html
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First-order_logic
- Remember that predicate logic is an extension of propositional logic. Propositional logic deals with structure. Predicate logic adds quantifiers and association.

+ Exam Advice

- Questions about procedure and admin > Cath Ewan quickly.
- Help with a particular question or topic:
 - Java Café, Email the course lecturer, Talk to friends, Research online, Library, etc.
- Revision:
 - Divide up your time wisely. Leave slack for the weather/socials.
 - Find a method you feel comfortable with.
 - Keep away from distractions.
 - It is unlikely last minute revision will work well. Aim to relax on the night before the exam and have a small glance at relevant notes before the exam.
- Don't panic if one or two topics are not going well.

+ Finally...

- These slides will appear on the website:
 - <http://www.tonychung.net/>
- I am happy to answer questions and provide help over email for the rest of your course – but unfortunately I am away for the next five weeks.
- Good luck with the revision.
- When your exams are over, chill!
- Think about applications for summer internships in 2010. Some companies require that you apply a year ahead!